

Synthetic Biology



4th Report of the Central Committee on Biological Safety (ZKBS)

Period: 2022–2025

Image credits: © VectorMine/stock.adobe.com

Contents

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Developments in the research fields of synthetic biology and evaluation by the ZKBS.....	4
2.1	Synthesis of genes and genomes.....	5
2.2	Synthesis of molecular switches and genetic circuits.....	6
2.3	Synthesis of customized metabolic pathways.....	7
2.4	Proto-, minimal and synthetic cells.....	8
2.5	Xenobiology.....	9
2.6	Methods with impact on synthetic biology.....	10
2.7	Synthetic biology with application perspectives.....	11
3	References.....	13

1 Introduction

The Central Committee on Biological Safety (ZKBS) has been monitoring advancements in synthetic biology for more than 15 years in order to provide expert and critical oversight and comprehensive biosafety assessments of ongoing scientific developments. The resulting reports were published in 2012, 2018 and 2022. While the first report focused on research activities within Germany, subsequent reports expanded their scope to examine global scientific developments.

Since 2018, the ZKBS website has also featured an annual selection of publications deemed particularly representative and relevant to the research fields defined by the ZKBS as synthetic biology (<https://zkbs-online.de/en/synthetic-biology/current-developments>).

This fourth report presents developments across various research areas within synthetic biology, based on publications from 2022 to 2025 that were selected by the ZKBS for its website. Furthermore, the ZKBS assesses whether these advances fall within the scope of the German Genetic Engineering Act (GenTG) or the relevant European Directives regarding potential risks to biosafety.

Research into the creation of mirror life currently poses no risk to biosafety, as the generation of self-replicating mirror organisms is not yet technically feasible. The potential risk that could arise from such a future development cannot, at present, be conclusively assessed.

For a comprehensive introduction to synthetic biology, please refer to the reports published previously (<https://zkbs-online.de/en/synthetic-biology/overview>).

2 Developments in the research fields of synthetic biology and evaluation by the ZKBS

To date, no generally accepted definition of synthetic biology exists, as it does not constitute a distinct, clearly delineated scientific discipline. Instead, it represents a convergence of many specialist fields with differing objectives. In its monitoring activities, the ZKBS focuses on seven defined categories of research areas within synthetic biology. These are:

- Synthesis of genes and genomes
- Synthesis of molecular switches and genetic circuits
- Synthesis of customised metabolic pathways
- Generation of proto-, minimal and synthetic cells
- Xenobiology
- Methods with impact on synthetic biology
- Synthetic biology with application perspectives

The seventh category was newly introduced in the previous monitoring report. This research field presents and evaluates work which, based on the fundamentals of synthetic biology, demonstrates significant potential for practical applications.

The results of the ongoing monitoring from 2022 to 2025 are briefly summarised below. More detailed summaries of the majority of the publications can be found on the ZKBS website (<https://zkbs-online.de/en/synthetic-biology/current-developments>).

2.1 Synthesis of genes and genomes

As already described in the previous reports, the development of synthetic genomes has continued in recent years. For example, progress has been made on the Synthetic Yeast Genome Project (Sc2.0), which has now synthetically produced all 16 yeast chromosomes as well as a 17th *de novo* neochromosome [1]. New approaches to the construction of synthetic chromosomes also enable the assembly of large DNA fragments, the step-by-step replacement of genome segments, and the transfer of artificial chromosomes into new hosts [2–4]. Among other applications, a *de novo* designed synthetic accessory chromosome was successfully introduced into yeast strains to expand their metabolic network [4]. For the first time, a synthetically designed chromosome segment was successfully integrated into the natural genome of a multicellular organism, the terrestrial moss *Physcomitrium patens* [5]. Furthermore, methods for genome recoding in human cells were established, in which stop codons were replaced by multiplex base editing [6]. A DNA recorder (peCHYRON) was presented, capable of recording a transient biological event in mammalian cells through targeted, permanent genome edits that can subsequently be read out via DNA sequencing [7]. Furthermore, the AI-supported language model for genomics, Evo 1.5, enables the generation of novel (new-to-nature) functional genes and biological systems [8]. Generative DNA language models increasingly enable the function-guided design of genes without requiring significant sequence similarity to natural genes with the same function. Consequently, biological function can increasingly be decoupled from an association with known donor sequences at the protein and DNA levels [9].

Assessment by the ZKBS:

As detailed in the preceding three reports, capabilities for synthesising complete genomes continue to advance. However, no *de novo* design of complete genomes has been realized yet; the *in vitro* synthesised genomes are still strongly based on their natural counterparts. Consequently, a comparative risk assessment remains possible. Meanwhile, however, novel genes have been designed that lack a traceable donor organism. As the performance of generative AI models continues to improve, the predicted or experimentally determined function of synthetic nucleic acid segments will therefore become increasingly important for the risk assessment in the future, alongside sequence similarity.

The ZKBS considers that the risk assessment of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) produced using nucleic acid segments that are novel in nature is possible under current genetic engineering legislation, even if these nucleic acid segments cannot be attributed to a donor organism (see Section 4, sentence 1, no. 1, point d of the German Genetic Engineering Safety Ordinance (GenTSV)). The risk assessment is then carried out on the

basis of Section 5 of the GenTSV in conjunction with Annex 1, taking particular account of the known and predictable information regarding the transferred nucleic acid segment (see Section 5(1), no. 2 of the GenTSV in conjunction with Annex 1, no. 2.1(b)). Accordingly, the GMO may also be assigned to a higher risk group if it cannot be ruled out that an introduced nucleic acid segment increases the GMO's hazard potential.

The mere *in vitro* synthesis of genes and genomes does not fall within the scope of the GenTG, provided the resulting nucleic acid segments are not introduced into living organisms. If newly synthesised and modified genes or genomes are introduced into living organisms, this constitutes a genetic engineering operation according to the GenTG, unless these modifications could occur naturally by mating or natural recombination.

2.2 Synthesis of molecular switches and genetic circuits

Advanced approaches to the control of CAR-T cell therapies were presented, including logic-controlled LINK-CARs [10], off-switch adaptors for universal CARs [11] and CARs with a synthetic intramembrane proteolysis receptor (SNIPR), which can guide CAR-T cells to solid tumours [12]. Further new synthetic receptor systems include a photoreceptor established in plants, which is activated by blue-green light and is also applicable in prokaryotes and other eukaryotes [13], as well as a system based on two receptor domains (a protease and a releasable transcription factor) that is sensitive to adaptable extracellular protein signals with a customised output, e.g. a fluorescence signal [14]. In the field of biosensor technology, proteins have been developed whose fluorescence changes in response to an external magnetic field [15], as well as bacteria that respond to specific environmental stimuli by forming characteristic swarm patterns [16]. Artificial gene regulation has been expanded by a novel class of riboregulators, known as split-intron-enabled trans-splicing riboregulators (SENTRs) [17], as well as by small RNAs that dynamically modulate gene expression in genetic circuits with a broad spectrum of repression (high, medium and low) [18]. Furthermore, Cas proteins have been engineered into translational modulators in mammalian cells [19].

A new modular system enables contact-dependent communication between cells (mating-peptide anchored response system, MARS) as well as specific cell-cell adhesion (*Saccharomyces* Adhesion Toolkit for multicellular patterning, SATURN) in yeast cell clusters [20].

The so-called synoptosis circuit triggers targeted cell death in mammals via modified apoptosis and pyroptosis proteins [21].

An enzyme functions as a UV-light-sensitive switch through the incorporation of a non-canonical amino acid, which prevents it from being inhibited through a feedback loop by its natural inhibitor. UV light removes a bulky o-nitrobenzyl group, allowing the inhibitor to bind to the enzyme once again [22]. Genetic circuits have been developed for plants that enable the specific gene expression in different tissues. These circuits have been used to modify the lateral growth of the lateral roots of *Arabidopsis thaliana* [23]. Orthogonal synthetic promoters have also been developed for use in plants, regulated by the endogenous signalling molecule ethylene [24].

In cancer therapy, research has been conducted regarding genetic circuits in bacteria which, following a temperature increase induced by ultrasound, lead to the targeted release of cytokines [25]. Furthermore, miRNAs are used in artificial logic circuits capable of performing various computational operations within cells. In artificial DNA circuits, miRNAs act as input signals that specifically activate or inhibit subsequent DNA reactions via strand displacement processes [26].

Neural networks have been developed *in silico* for bacteria to programme their behaviour to solve optimisation problems or to respond to changing environmental conditions [27]. In mammalian cells, logic gates have been constructed based on the incorporation of two different non-canonical amino acids. These circuits are active only in the presence of one or both of the non-canonical amino acids [28]. So-called bactoneurons have been constructed in *Escherichia coli*, each with three inputs and three outputs, together they form five artificial neurosynapses. They are used for cellular computational operations [29]. Multistability was achieved in mammalian cells using a circuit consisting of transcription factors. This process allows a cell to transition into a specific state in response to external stimuli in combination with the built-in circuit [30]. In addition, a wirelessly controllable electronic system was developed for minimally invasive biomedical applications. In this system, nanoparticles respond to an electromagnetic field by generating reactive oxygen species, which in turn serve as a signal for the expression of a transgene. The system was validated for the control of blood glucose levels in mice with type 1 diabetes [31].

Assessment by the ZKBS:

The design of genetic circuits involves combining precisely defined and usually functionally well characterized DNA segments. The circuits are often introduced into well-established model organisms, using a biosafety measure. As already stated in the last two interim reports, such processes generate GMOs that fall within the scope of the GenTG.

2.3 Synthesis of customized metabolic pathways

The publications address the optimisation of the CO₂ metabolism, the production of synthetic active substances, energy conversion and artificial photosynthesis.

The yeast *Komagataella phaffii* has been modified to utilise CO₂ as its sole carbon source whilst simultaneously producing organic acids such as itaconic acid or lactic acid [32]. Furthermore, the THETA cycle – a new-to-nature CO₂ fixation pathway – has been developed, which efficiently converts gaseous CO₂ into acetyl-CoA [33]. The lactyl-CoA mutase module has also been described, which efficiently converts acetyl-CoA into pyruvate without releasing CO₂ [34]. Another newly developed metabolic pathway is the artificial phosphoketolase pathway, which, unlike glycolysis, breaks down simple sugars (monosaccharides) into acetyl-CoA without any losses [35].

The newly developed BIOPOLYMER platform utilises genetically modified *Streptomyces coelicolor* strains to produce novel anthracycline analogues [36]. A yeast cell factory enables the scalable production of 24-Epi-ergosterol, which occurs extremely rarely in nature and is a precursor to a plant hormone that plays an important role in agriculture by

increasing crop yields and the quality of harvested produce [37]. The abiological carbene transfer reaction has been incorporated into a bacterial biosynthetic pathway for the first time, expanding the range of organic substances that can be produced by cellular metabolism [38]. A newly developed metabolic pathway can reduce formate to formaldehyde both *in vitro* as well as *in vivo* in *E. coli* using just two enzymes and inorganic phosphate (P_i) [39].

The acid/aldehyde-ATP cycle, a new-to-nature electrobiological module, enables a cell to convert electrical energy directly into ATP and can be coupled to other *in vitro* processes such as transcription/translation systems [40]. A C2-symmetric designer protein has been engineered which functionally binds excitonically coupled chlorophyll pairs (special pairs) and enables artificial light excitation and energy transfer [41]. By inserting genetic components of the α -carboxysome from a proteobacterium, morphologically correct carboxysomes were generated in tobacco chloroplasts. This work paves the way for improving photosynthesis and the productivity of crop plants [42]. A new method has been developed to transfer the genes required for specific metabolic pathways across species using iModulons (groups of co-expressed but potentially independently regulated genes) [43].

Assessment by the ZKBS:

For the development of novel customised metabolic pathways, existing genes are modified or genes from another organism are introduced into an already existing organism. This could enable the specific formation of hazardous substances. However, the production and handling of these organisms are fully covered by the GenTG.

2.4 Proto-, minimal and synthetic cells

During this reporting period, no publications were identified that offer significant new developments in the *top-down* production of minimal cells compared to the work covered in previous reports.

In *bottom-up* protocell engineering, the use of giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) remains predominant, complemented by giant unilamellar niosomes [44], protein vesicles [45] and nucleated cells in the form of vesicle-in-vesicle structures with genetically controlled communication between compartments [46]. Polymerisation-induced self-assembly has been proposed as a new synthesis method for GUVs [47]. Complete plant cell walls [48] and cell scaffolds based on DNA, RNA or bacterial fragments [49–51] have been engineered. Artificial compartments were created from DNA, dipeptide or protein coacervates, RNA nanostructures and silicon dioxide [52–54]. These compartments, among other functions, spatially separate two chemically incompatible processes within GUVs [55], mimic mitochondria [56], or facilitate metabolically controlled transcription [57]. A synthetic bacterial microcompartment has been established in chloroplasts [58]. Using DNA origami, a rotary motor comparable to an ATPase that can self-assemble has been encoded [59], and DNA origami tweezers have been produced for the targeted control of GUV morphology [60]. Furthermore, the intracellular transport system, consisting of a colloidal motor and self-assembling lipid tubes, has been replicated [61]. Artificial cells that

respond to stimuli via sensors and regulate mammalian cells [62] have been created. Following subcutaneous administration in mice, these cells trigger neovascularisation through the production of pro-angiogenic factors [63]. Other artificial cells control protein expression via a temperature-sensitive mRNA element [64]. A cell division machinery for artificial cells was presented [65, 66], and the artificial reconstruction of endosymbiotic processes in eukaryotic cells was described [67–69]. For the first time, a complete viral infection cycle of a T7 phage was recreated within artificial cells [70]. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that synthetic cells can interact with one another in a synthetic mating system, thereby activating various genetic circuits [71].

Assessment by the ZKBS:

In the case of minimal organisms created by the targeted reduction of the genome, the potential risk can be accurately assessed – as provided for in the GenTG – by comparing the organisms with the parent organisms. Organisms designed ‘from scratch’ using the *bottom-up* approach do not fall within the scope of the GenTG, which applies to organisms whose ‘genetic material has been modified in a way that does not occur under natural conditions by mating or natural recombination’ (Section 3 GenTG). Under the GenTG, the risk assessment is based on the known risk potential of the donor and recipient organisms. Protocells that are not based on a natural organism are therefore not covered by the GenTG.

To date, no protocell has been described that replicates autonomously and can be regarded as an organism.

2.5 Xenobiology

In the field of xenobiology, a number of studies have been published on the expansion of the genetic code and the incorporation of non-canonical monomers into proteins. These included, for example, the development of a pyrrolysyl-tRNA synthetase with an expanded substrate range, capable of accepting α -thioacids and α -carboxylic acids, thereby enabling the incorporation of additional non-canonical monomers into proteins [72]. In addition, a method for identifying orthogonal tRNA synthetases was developed, which was used to identify variants capable of incorporating further non-canonical amino acids, such as β -amino acids, α,α -disubstituted amino acids and β -hydroxy acids [73]. In a further study, a strategy for the efficient use of a four-base codon was described, which allowed the incorporation of several non-canonical amino acids without modification of the host genome [74]. Furthermore, fluorescent, non-canonical amino acids were developed and used for the production of artificial fluorescent proteins and biosensors, which serve for molecular investigations in mammalian cells [75]. Finally, a method was described that combines the *in situ* biosynthesis of non-canonical amino acids with an expansion of the genetic code, thereby enabling the production of artificial enzymes with novel catalytic properties within cells [76].

Assessment by the ZKBS:

The approaches pursued in the field of xenobiology are used to produce organisms whose genetic material has been altered in a way that does not occur under natural conditions through mating or natural recombination. In this context, non-natural bases are also regarded as genetic material. Such organisms are therefore subject to the GenTG.

Many applications in the field of xenobiology also aim for orthogonality and require very specific compounds that often do not occur in nature. This can lead to an increase in biosafety.

2.6 Methods with impact on synthetic biology

In recent years, new methods for the design, construction and optimisation of genetic circuits, metabolic pathways, molecular components (such as proteins, ribosomes [77] and synthetic receptors [78]) and cellular systems (such as programmed cell-cell interactions [79] and synthetic sensor systems [80]) have been developed. These include publicly accessible, computer-aided design platforms such as Galaxy-SynBioCAD for planning genetic constructs and metabolic pathways [81], strategies for the heterologous and cross-host expression of biosynthetic gene clusters [82], methods for the controlled expression of multiple genes in fungi [83], and CRAPs, an *in vivo* method in yeast in which CRISPR-based DNA repair is used to generate and functionally compare numerous different combinations of metabolic pathways [84].

In addition, tools have been developed for the targeted manipulation of DNA sequences, extending even to chromosomes, including orthogonal replication systems for the accelerated evolution of synthetic DNA elements [85], RNA-controlled bridge recombinases for precise genomic rearrangements such as insertions, excisions and inversions [86], and methods for the transfer and targeted modification of human chromosomes [87]. These new molecular tools extend programmable genome engineering from local sequence changes in individual genes to the targeted reorganisation of chromosomes and genomes. As a result, large-scale modifications such as the construction and reorganisation of entire genomic regions can be significantly simplified and accelerated in the future.

Another key focus was on the development and application of AI-based models for the design and optimisation of proteins, nucleic acid sequences and genetic circuits. For example, machine learning enabled the optimisation of genetic circuits and complex expression systems [88], whilst generative AI models such as Chroma and ESM3 enabled the design of new proteins and protein structures [89, 90]. Furthermore, the aforementioned AI-powered language model for genomics, Evo, enables the prediction and generation of DNA, RNA and protein sequences [91]. High-throughput methods for analysing large genetic design spaces have been combined with machine learning to predict and optimise genetic circuits [92]. AI-supported predictive models, in conjunction with automated laboratory platforms, are increasingly enabling autonomous 'design-build-test-learn' cycles for the iterative optimisation of enzymes [93]. Consequently, biological engineering is increasingly shifting from the manual selection of individual designs by researchers towards

AI-supported and automated design processes capable of independently generating, testing and optimising designs at high throughput.

Assessment by the ZKBS:

The methods listed here involve the targeted modification or recombination of genetic elements, metabolic pathways, molecular components or cellular systems. Where organisms are genetically modified in this process and the resulting genetic changes cannot arise under natural conditions through cross-breeding or natural recombination, the GenTG applies.

In the AI-based methods described, the generated or optimised designs are implemented experimentally and validated in organisms or cell cultures. Even though novel DNA sequences are introduced into organisms in some cases, the resulting GMOs are subject to the provisions of the GenTG (see also the assessment under 2.1 Synthesis of genes and genomes).

Generative AI and laboratory automation are increasingly changing the fundamentals of comparative risk assessment, as biological functions can be designed and optimised independently of natural sequence templates. The further development of generative AI and automated 'design-build-test-learn' approaches remains the subject of ongoing monitoring.

2.7 Synthetic biology with application perspectives

Since the third report, published in 2022, the ZKBS has also included publications on the prospective applications of synthetic biology in its ongoing monitoring. The innovations presented utilise artificial genetic circuits, bio-electronic interfaces, nanotechnology and generative AI for new medical and biotechnological applications.

In the field of AI-assisted protein design, a cell-free biosynthesis platform was presented that can generate and validate antimicrobial peptides *de novo* within a single day [94]. MCNet is a model for predicting protein-glycan interactions, including the complete stereochemistry of glycan structures [95]. RoseTTAFold Diffusion (RFDiffusion, [96]) enables the design of novel therapeutic binding proteins against medically relevant target structures and could reduce development times and costs compared with traditional methods such as animal immunisation or extensive screening approaches [97, 98].

In the field of new therapies, the DNA-encoded ARCT model has been developed, which mimics cytotoxic T lymphocytes that destroy tumour cells by releasing a pore-forming protein [99]. In the future, the generation of tissues or organs for clinical applications could be supported by programmed fibroblasts (organiser cell line), which, when arranged alongside mouse embryonic stem cells, enable embryonic development that closely resembles natural processes [100]. A bioelectronic capsule that can be ingested by humans measures inflammatory markers in the gastrointestinal tract in real time and transmits the data wirelessly to a smartphone [101]. The direct current-actuated regulation technology (DART) interface connects wearable electronic devices with medical interventions, thereby enabling the expression of a foreign gene in human cells to be programmed and promising a wide range of applications for gene- and cell-based therapies, real-time dosing and the

remote monitoring of patients by medical staff [102]. To further the development of interspecies organogenesis, nanobodies have been developed that artificially enhance adhesion between human and mouse cells [103]. An oscillating circuit controlled by two inductors in *E. coli* can vary both its period and amplitude and can be used for pulsed drug delivery [104].

DUEC (dueling competent) is a highly selective platform for protein delivery and is based on the tit-for-tat response of the H1-T6 secretion system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [105]. A synthetic transcription system has been developed that controls the expression level of a target gene via guide RNAs, their complementary binding sites and an inactivated CRISPR protein [106].

Artificial photosynthesis increases the light-dependent production of ATP and malate using CdS semiconductor nanoclusters in the periplasm of *E. coli* [107]. A nanoscale DNA origami turbine operates autonomously under physical conditions and converts energy from naturally abundant electrochemical potentials into mechanical work [108].

For the first time, fully functional mirror-image nanopores made from D-amino acids (DpPorA) have been developed; these are based on a natural bacterial porin (PorACj) and exert a selective cytotoxic effect on breast cancer cells [109]. A novel nanostructure based on mirror-image RNA (L-RNA) has been designed for the stable and targeted delivery of therapeutics [110].

Assessment by the ZKBS:

The potential applications of synthetic biology described here encompass a broad spectrum of different approaches and methods.

When organisms are genetically modified, for instance, through the introduction of synthetic circuits or novel expression systems, the work is subject to the GenTG.

By contrast, other approaches listed do not inherently involve GMOs. These include AI-assisted protein design carried out *in silico*, cell-free systems, or molecular structures such as DNA origami and mirror-image protein or RNA structures. Provided that their production or use does not involve genetic engineering operations on living organisms, these approaches do not fall within the scope of the GenTG.

From the ZKBS's perspective, this does not currently give rise to any fundamentally new issues regarding biosafety.

3 References

1. **Schindler D, Walker RSK, Cai Y** (2024). Methodological advances enabled by the construction of a synthetic yeast genome. *Cell Rep Methods* **4**(4):100761.
2. **Coradini ALV, Ville CN, Krieger ZA, Roemer J, Hull C, Yang S, Lusk DT, Ehrenreich IM** (2023). Building synthetic chromosomes from natural DNA. *Nat Commun* **14**(1):8337.
3. **Zürcher JF, Kleefeldt AA, Funke LFH, Birnbaum J, Fredens J, Grazioli S, Liu KC, Spinck M, Petris G, Murat P, Rehm FBH, Sale JE, Chin JW** (2023). Continuous synthesis of *E. coli* genome sections and Mb-scale human DNA assembly. *Nature* **619**(7970):555–62.
4. **Ma Y, Su S, Fu Z, Zhou C, Qiao B, Wu Y, Yuan Y-J** (2024). Convenient synthesis and delivery of a megabase-scale designer accessory chromosome empower biosynthetic capacity. *Cell Res* **34**(4):309–22.
5. **Chen L-G, Lan T, Zhang S, Zhao M, Luo G, Gao Y, Zhang Y, Du Q, Lu H, Li B, Jiao B, Hu Z, Ma Y, Zhao Q, Wang Y, Qian W, Dai J, Jiao Y** (2024). A designer synthetic chromosome fragment functions in moss. *Nat Plants* **10**(2):228–39.
6. **Chen Y, Hysolli E, Chen A, Casper S, Liu S, Yang K, Liu C, Church G** (2022). Multiplex base editing to convert TAG into TAA codons in the human genome. *Nat Commun* **13**(1):4482.
7. **Loveless TB, Carlson CK, Dentzel Helmy CA, Hu VJ, Ross SK, Demelo MC, Murtaza A, Liang G, Ficht M, Singhai A, Pajoh-Casco MJ, Liu CC** (2025). Open-ended molecular recording of sequential cellular events into DNA. *Nat Chem Biol* **21**(4):512–21.
8. **Merchant AT, King SH, Nguyen E, Hie BL** (2026). Semantic design of functional de novo genes from a genomic language model. *Nature* **649**(8097):749–58.
9. **Wittmann BJ, Alexanian T, Bartling C, Beal J, Clore A, Diggans J, Flyangolts K, Gemler BT, Mitchell T, Murphy ST, Wheeler NE, Horvitz E** (2025). Strengthening nucleic acid biosecurity screening against generative protein design tools. *Science* **390**(6768):82–7.
10. **Tousley AM, Rotiroti MC, Labanieh L, Rysavy LW, Kim W-J, Lareau C, Sotillo E, Weber EW, Rietberg SP, Dalton GN, Yin Y, Klysz D, Xu P, La Serna EL de, Dunn AR, Satpathy AT, Mackall CL, Majzner RG** (2023). Co-opting signalling molecules enables logic-gated control of CAR T cells. *Nature* **615**(7952):507–16.
11. **Kvorjak M, Ruffo E, Tivon Y, So V, Parikh A, Deiters A, Lohmueller J** (2023). Conditional Control of Universal CAR T Cells by Cleavable OFF-Switch Adaptors. *ACS Synth Biol* **12**(10):2996–3007.
12. **Piraner DI, Abedi MH, Duran Gonzalez MJ, Chazin-Gray A, Lin A, Zhu I, Ravindran PT, Schlichthaerle T, Huang B, Bearchild TH, Lee D, Wyman S, Jun Y-W, Baker D, Roybal KT** (2025). Engineered receptors for soluble cellular communication and disease sensing. *Nature* **638**(8051):805–13.
13. **Piccinini L, Iacopino S, Cazzaniga S, Ballottari M, Giuntoli B, Licausi F** (2022). A synthetic switch based on orange carotenoid protein to control blue-green light responses in chloroplasts. *Plant Physiol* **189**(2):1153–68.
14. **Zhou J, Ge Q, Wang D, Guo Q, Tao Y** (2023). Engineering a modular double-transmembrane synthetic receptor system for customizing cellular programs. *Cell Rep* **42**(4):112385.
15. **Abrahams G, Štuhec A, Spreng V, Henry R, Kempf I, James J, Sechkar K, Stacey S, Trelles-Fernandez V, Antill LM, Timmel CR, Miller JJ, Ingaramo M, York AG, Tetienne J-P, Steel H** (2026). Quantum spin resonance in engineered proteins for multimodal sensing. *Nature* **649**(8099):1172–9.
16. **Doshi A, Shaw M, Tanea R, Moon S, Minyety R, Doshi A, Laine A, Guo J, Danino T** (2023). Engineered bacterial swarm patterns as spatial records of environmental inputs. *Nat Chem Biol* **19**(7):878–86.
17. **Gao Y, Mardian R, Ma J, Li Y, French CE, Wang B** (2025). Programmable trans-splicing riboregulators for complex cellular logic computation. *Nat Chem Biol* **21**(5):758–66.
18. **Velazquez Sanchez AK, Klopprogge B, Zimmermann K-H, Ignatova Z** (2023). Tailored Synthetic sRNAs Dynamically Tune Multilayer Genetic Circuits. *ACS Synth Biol* **12**(9):2524–35.

19. **Kawasaki S, Ono H, Hirose M, Kuwabara T, Sumi S, Lee S, Woltjen K, Saito H** (2023). Programmable mammalian translational modulators by CRISPR-associated proteins. *Nat Commun* **14**(1):2243.
20. **Meng F, Shaw WM, Kam YKK, Ellis T** (2025). Engineering yeast multicellular behaviors via synthetic adhesion and contact signaling. *Cell* **188**(18):4936-4949.e14.
21. **Xia S, Lu AC, Tobin V, Luo K, Moeller L, Shon DJ, Du R, Linton JM, Sui M, Horns F, Elowitz MB** (2024). Synthetic protein circuits for programmable control of mammalian cell death. *Cell* **187**(11):2785-2800.e16.
22. **Bhagat AK, Schlee S, Straub K, Nazet J, Luckner P, Bruckmann A, Sterner R** (2022). Photoswitching of Feedback Inhibition by Tryptophan in Anthranilate Synthase. *ACS Synth Biol* **11**(8):2846–56.
23. **Brophy JAN, Magallon KJ, Duan L, Zhong V, Ramachandran P, Kniazev K, Dinneny JR** (2022). Synthetic genetic circuits as a means of reprogramming plant roots. *Science* **377**(6607):747–51.
24. **Kar S, Bordiya Y, Rodriguez N, Kim J, Gardner EC, Gollihar JD, Sung S, Ellington AD** (2022). Orthogonal control of gene expression in plants using synthetic promoters and CRISPR-based transcription factors. *Plant Methods* **18**(1):42.
25. **Chen Y, Du M, Yuan Z, Chen Z, Yan F** (2022). Spatiotemporal control of engineered bacteria to express interferon- γ by focused ultrasound for tumor immunotherapy. *Nat Commun* **13**(1):4468.
26. **Chen RP, Chen W** (2022). Tunable and Modular miRNA Classifier through Indirect Associative Toehold Strand Displacement. *ACS Synth Biol* **11**(8):2719–25.
27. **Becerra AG, Gutiérrez M, Lahoz-Beltra R** (2022). Computing within bacteria: Programming of bacterial behavior by means of a plasmid encoding a perceptron neural network. *Biosystems* **213**:104608.
28. **Mills EM, Barlow VL, Jones AT, Tsai Y-H** (2021). Development of mammalian cell logic gates controlled by unnatural amino acids. *Cell Rep Methods* **1**(6):100073.
29. **Srivastava R, Bagh S** (2023). A Logically Reversible Double Feynman Gate with Molecular Engineered Bacteria Arranged in an Artificial Neural Network-Type Architecture. *ACS Synth Biol* **12**(1):51–60.
30. **Zhu R, Del Rio-Salgado JM, Garcia-Ojalvo J, Elowitz MB** (2022). Synthetic multistability in mammalian cells. *Science* **375**(6578):eabg9765.
31. **Lin Z, Guha Ray P, Huang J, Buchmann P, Fussenegger M** (2025). Electromagnetic wireless remote control of mammalian transgene expression. *Nat Nanotechnol* **20**(8):1071–8.
32. **Baumschabl M, Ata Ö, Mitic BM, Lutz L, Gassler T, Troyer C, Hann S, Mattanovich D** (2022). Conversion of CO₂ into organic acids by engineered autotrophic yeast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**(47):e2211827119.
33. **Luo S, Diehl C, He H, Bae Y, Klose M, Claus P, Cortina NS, Fernandez CA, Schulz-Mirbach H, McLean R, Ramírez Rojas AA, Schindler D, Paczia N, Erb TJ** (2023). Construction and modular implementation of the THETA cycle for synthetic CO₂ fixation. *Nat Catal* **6**(12):1228–40.
34. **Schulz-Mirbach H, Wichmann P, Satanowski A, Meusel H, Wu T, Nattermann M, Burgener S, Paczia N, Bar-Even A, Erb TJ** (2024). New-to-nature CO₂-dependent acetyl-CoA assimilation enabled by an engineered B12-dependent acyl-CoA mutase. *Nat Commun* **15**(1):10235.
35. **Yang Y, Liu Y, Zhao H, Liu D, Zhang J, Cheng J, Yang Q, Chu H, Lu X, Luo M, Sheng X, Zhang Y-HPJ, Jiang H, Ma Y** (2023). Construction of an artificial phosphoketolase pathway that efficiently catabolizes multiple carbon sources to acetyl-CoA. *PLoS Biol* **21**(9):e3002285.
36. **Wang R, Nguyen J, Hecht J, Schwartz N, Brown KV, Ponomareva LV, Niemczura M, van Dissel D, van Wezel GP, Thorson JS, Metsä-Ketelä M, Shaaban KA, Nybo SE** (2022). A BioBricks Metabolic Engineering Platform for the Biosynthesis of Anthracyclines in *Streptomyces coelicolor*. *ACS Synth Biol* **11**(12):4193–209.
37. **Jiang Y, Sun Z, Lu K, Wu Z, Xue H, Zhu L, Li G, Feng Y, Wu M, Lin J, Lian J, Yang L** (2023). Manipulation of sterol homeostasis for the production of 24-epi-ergosterol in industrial yeast. *Nat Commun* **14**(1):437.

38. **Huang J, Quest A, Cruz-Morales P, Deng K, Pereira JH, van Cura D, Kakumanu R, Baidoo EEK, Dan Q, Chen Y, Petzold CJ, Northern TR, Adams PD, Clark DS, Balskus EP, Hartwig JF, Mukhopadhyay A, Keasling JD** (2023). Complete integration of carbene-transfer chemistry into biosynthesis. *Nature* **617**(7960):403–8.
39. **Nattermann M, Wenk S, Pfister P, He H, Lee SH, Szymanski W, Guntermann N, Zhu F, Nickel L, Wallner C, Zarzycki J, Paczia N, Gaißert N, Franciò G, Leitner W, Gonzalez R, Erb TJ** (2023). Engineering a new-to-nature cascade for phosphate-dependent formate to formaldehyde conversion in vitro and in vivo. *Nat Commun* **14**(1):2682.
40. **Luo S, Adam D, Giaveri S, Barthel S, Cestellos-Blanco S, Hege D, Paczia N, Castañeda-Losada L, Klose M, Arndt F, Heider J, Erb TJ** (2023). ATP production from electricity with a new-to-nature electrobiological module. *Joule* **7**(8):1745–58.
41. **Ennist NM, Wang S, Kennedy MA, Curti M, Sutherland GA, Vasilev C, Redler RL, Maffei V, Shareef S, Sica AV, Hua AS, Deshmukh AP, Moyer AP, Hicks DR, Swartz AZ, Cacho RA, Novy N, Bera AK, Kang A, Sankaran B, Johnson MP, Phadkule A, Reppert M, Ekiert D, Bhabha G, Stewart L, Caram JR, Stoddard BL, Romero E, Hunter CN, Baker D** (2024). De novo design of proteins housing excitonically coupled chlorophyll special pairs. *Nat Chem Biol* **20**(7):906–15.
42. **Chen T, Hojka M, Davey P, Sun Y, Dykes GF, Zhou F, Lawson T, Nixon PJ, Lin Y, Liu L-N** (2023). Engineering α -carboxysomes into plant chloroplasts to support autotrophic photosynthesis. *Nat Commun* **14**(1):2118.
43. **Choe D, Olson CA, Szubin R, Yang H, Sung J, Feist AM, Palsson BO** (2024). Advancing the scale of synthetic biology via cross-species transfer of cellular functions enabled by iModulon engraftment. *Nat Commun* **15**(1):2356.
44. **Luo Z-H, He X-Y, Deng N-N** (2025). Monodisperse Giant Unilamellar Niosomes as Minimal Synthetic Cells. *J Am Chem Soc* **147**(30):27081–8.
45. **Suzuki M, Kamiya K** (2023). Cell-sized asymmetric phospholipid-amphiphilic protein vesicles with growth, fission, and molecule transportation. *iScience* **26**(3):106086.
46. **Ioannou IA, Monck C, Ceroni F, Brooks NJ, Kuimova MK, Elani Y** (2024). Nucleated synthetic cells with genetically driven intercompartment communication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **121**(36):e2404790121.
47. **Belluati A, Jimaja S, Chadwick RJ, Glynn C, Chami M, Happel D, Guo C, Kolmar H, Bruns N** (2024). Artificial cell synthesis using biocatalytic polymerization-induced self-assembly. *Nat Chem* **16**(4):564–74.
48. **Notova S, Cannac N, Rabagliati L, Touzard M, Mante J, Navon Y, Coche-Guérente L, Lerouxel O, Heux L, Imbert A** (2022). Building an Artificial Plant Cell Wall on a Lipid Bilayer by Assembling Polysaccharides and Engineered Proteins. *ACS Synth Biol* **11**(10):3516–28.
49. **Jahnke K, Huth V, Mersdorf U, Liu N, Göpfrich K** (2022). Bottom-Up Assembly of Synthetic Cells with a DNA Cytoskeleton. *ACS Nano* **16**(5):7233–41.
50. **Tran MP, Chakraborty T, Poppleton E, Monari L, Illig M, Giessler F, Göpfrich K** (2025). Genetic encoding and expression of RNA origami cytoskeletons in synthetic cells. *Nat Nanotechnol* **20**(5):664–71.
51. **Xu C, Martin N, Li M, Mann S** (2022). Living material assembly of bacteriogenic protocells. *Nature* **609**(7929):1029–37.
52. **Zhang L, Chen M, Wang Z, Zhong M, Chen H, Li T, Wang L, Zhao Z, Zhang X-B, Ke G, Liu Y, Tan W** (2024). Spatiotemporal Regulation of Cell Fate in Living Systems Using Photoactivatable Artificial DNA Membraneless Organelles. *ACS Cent Sci* **10**(6):1201–10.
53. **Cao S, Ivanov T, Heuer J, Ferguson CTJ, Landfester K, Da Caire Silva L** (2024). Dipeptide coacervates as artificial membraneless organelles for bioorthogonal catalysis. *Nat Commun* **15**(1):39.
54. **Fabrini G, Farag N, Nuccio SP, Li S, Stewart JM, Tang AA, McCoy R, Owens RM, Rothmund PWK, Franco E, Di Antonio M, Di Michele L** (2024). Co-transcriptional production of programmable RNA condensates and synthetic organelles. *Nat Nanotechnol* **19**(11):1665–73.

55. **Wang Z, Chali SP, Doan-Nguyen TP, Kim S, Mailänder V, Jiang S, Landfester K** (2025). Integrating incompatible tandem photobiocatalysis in artificial cells enables metabolic modulation of natural cells. *Sci Adv* **11**(27):eadu4828.
56. **Fu F, Hu X, Tao S, Gao Y, Crespy D, Landfester K, Mao X, Jiang S** (2025). Engineering Artificial Mitochondria with Self-Amplifying Proton Generation for Autonomous Energy Supply and Metabolic Coupling in Artificial Cells. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **64**(48):e202514980.
57. **Jerez-Longres C, Weber W** (2025). Metabolite-Responsive Control of Transcription by Phase Separation-Based Synthetic Organelles. *ACS Synth Biol* **14**(3):711–8.
58. **Dwyer ME, Froehlich JE, Raba DA, Borrusch M, Danhof L, Sharma N, Young EJ, Brandizzi F, Benning C, Kerfeld CA** (2024). Towards chloroplastic nanofactories: formation of proteinaceous scaffolds for metabolic engineering. *Plant Biotechnol J* **22**(12):3424–6.
59. **Pumm A-K, Engelen W, Kopperger E, Isensee J, Vogt M, Kozina V, Kube M, Honemann MN, Bertosin E, Langecker M, Golestanian R, Simmel FC, Dietz H** (2022). A DNA origami rotary ratchet motor. *Nature* **607**(7919):492–8.
60. **Zhan P, Yang J, Ding L, Jing X, Hipp K, Nussberger S, Yan H, Liu N** (2024). 3D DNA origami pincers that multitask on giant unilamellar vesicles. *Sci Adv* **10**(33):eadn8903.
61. **Ghellab SE, Zhang X, Yang Y, Wang S, Basharat M, Zhou X, Lei L, Zhou Y, Wang Y, Fang H, Gao Y** (2023). Cell-Mimic Directional Cargo Transportation in a Visible-Light-Activated Colloidal Motor/Lipid Tube System. *Small* **19**(5):e2204260.
62. **Wang M, Nan H, Wang M, Yang S, Liu L, Wang H-H, Nie Z** (2025). Responsive DNA artificial cells for contact and behavior regulation of mammalian cells. *Nat Commun* **16**(1):2410.
63. **Chen G, Levin R, Landau S, Kaduri M, Adir O, Ianovici I, Krinsky N, Doppelt-Flikshtain O, Shklover J, Shainsky-Roitman J, Levenberg S, Schroeder A** (2022). Implanted synthetic cells trigger tissue angiogenesis through de novo production of recombinant growth factors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**(38):e2207525119.
64. **Monck C, Elani Y, Ceroni F** (2024). Genetically programmed synthetic cells for thermo-responsive protein synthesis and cargo release. *Nat Chem Biol* **20**(10):1380–6.
65. **Wagner AM, Eto H, Joseph A, Kohyama S, Haraszti T, Zamora RA, Vorobii M, Giannotti MI, Schwille P, Rodriguez-Emmenegger C** (2022). Dendrimerosome Synthetic Cells Harbor Cell Division Machinery of Bacteria. *Adv Mater* **34**(28):e2202364.
66. **Kohyama S, Merino-Salomón A, Schwille P** (2022). In vitro assembly, positioning and contraction of a division ring in minimal cells. *Nat Commun* **13**(1):6098.
67. **Gao Y, Cournoyer J, De BC, Wallace CL, Ulanov AV, La Frano MR, Mehta AP** (2024). Introducing carbon assimilation in yeasts using photosynthetic directed endosymbiosis. *Nat Commun* **15**(1):5947.
68. **Hu G, Huang J, Fussenegger M** (2024). Toward Photosynthetic Mammalian Cells through Artificial Endosymbiosis. *Small* **20**(31):e2310310.
69. **Aoki R, Inui Y, Okabe Y, Sato M, Takeda-Kamiya N, Toyooka K, Sawada K, Morita H, Genot B, Maruyama S, Tomo T, Sonoike K, Matsunaga S** (2024). Incorporation of photosynthetically active algal chloroplasts in cultured mammalian cells towards photosynthesis in animals. *Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci* **100**(9):524–36.
70. **Levrier A, Soudier P, Garenne D, Izri Z, Bowden S, Lindner AB, Noireaux V** (2025). A synthetic cell phage cycle. *Nat Commun* **17**(1):557.
71. **Gaut NJ, Gomez-Garcia J, Heili JM, Cash B, Han Q, Engelhart AE, Adamala KP** (2022). Programmable Fusion and Differentiation of Synthetic Minimal Cells. *ACS Synth Biol* **11**(2):855–66.
72. **Fricke R, Swenson CV, Roe LT, Hamlish NX, Shah B, Zhang Z, Ficareta E, Ad O, Smaga S, Gee CL, Chatterjee A, Schepartz A** (2023). Expanding the substrate scope of pyrrolysyl-transfer RNA synthetase enzymes to include non- α -amino acids in vitro and in vivo. *Nat Chem* **15**(7):960–71.
73. **Dunkelmann DL, Piedrafita C, Dickson A, Liu KC, Elliott TS, Fiedler M, Bellini D, Zhou A, Cervettini D, Chin JW** (2024). Adding α,α -disubstituted and β -linked monomers to the genetic code of an organism. *Nature* **625**(7995):603–10.

74. **Costello A, Peterson AA, Lanster DL, Li Z, Carver GD, Badran AH** (2025). Author Correction: Efficient genetic code expansion without host genome modifications. *Nat Biotechnol* **43**(7):1203.
75. **Hu L, Cao W, Jiang Y, Cai W, Lou X, Liu T** (2024). Designing artificial fluorescent proteins and biosensors by genetically encoding molecular rotor-based amino acids. *Nat Chem* **16**(12):1960–71.
76. **Huang W, Wang S, Wei Y, Bai Y, Zhu Z, Yin D, Liu T, Sheng X, Zhou Z** (2025). Design and evolution of artificial enzyme with in-situ biosynthesized non-canonical amino acid. *Nat Commun* **16**(1):8698.
77. **Kim DS, Watkins A, Bidstrup E, Lee J, Topkar V, Kofman C, Schwarz KJ, Liu Y, Pintilie G, Roney E, Das R, Jewett MC** (2022). Three-dimensional structure-guided evolution of a ribosome with tethered subunits. *Nat Chem Biol* **18**(9):990–8.
78. **Chen H, Zhou S, Ngocho K, Zheng J, He X, Huang J, Wang K, Shi H, Liu J** (2024). Oriented triplex DNA as a synthetic receptor for transmembrane signal transduction. *Nat Commun* **15**(1):9789.
79. **Kim H, Skinner DJ, Glass DS, Hamby AE, Stuart BAR, Dunkel J, Riedel-Kruse IH** (2022). 4-bit adhesion logic enables universal multicellular interface patterning. *Nature* **608**(7922):324–9.
80. **Gambill L, Staubus A, Mo KW, Ameruoso A, Chappell J** (2023). A split ribozyme that links detection of a native RNA to orthogonal protein outputs. *Nat Commun* **14**(1):543.
81. **H  risson J, Duigou T, Du Lac M, Bazi-Kabbaj K, Sabeti Azad M, Buldum G, Telle O, El Moubayed Y, Carbonell P, Swainston N, Zulkower V, Kushwaha M, Baldwin GS, Faulon J-L** (2022). The automated Galaxy-SynBioCAD pipeline for synthetic biology design and engineering. *Nat Commun* **13**(1):5082.
82. **Patel JR, Oh J, Wang S, Crawford JM, Isaacs FJ** (2022). Cross-kingdom expression of synthetic genetic elements promotes discovery of metabolites in the human microbiome. *Cell* **185**(9):1487-1505.e14.
83. **Yue Q, Meng J, Qiu Y, Yin M, Zhang L, Zhou W, An Z, Liu Z, Yuan Q, Sun W, Li C, Zhao H, Moln  r I, Xu Y, Shi S** (2023). A polycistronic system for multiplexed and precalibrated expression of multigene pathways in fungi. *Nat Commun* **14**(1):4267.
84. **Dykstra CB, Pyne ME, Martin VJJ** (2023). CRAPS: Chromosomal-Repair-Assisted Pathway Shuffling in Yeast. *ACS Synth Biol* **12**(9):2578–87.
85. **Tian R, Rehm FBH, Czernecki D, Gu Y, Z  rcher JF, Liu KC, Chin JW** (2024). Establishing a synthetic orthogonal replication system enables accelerated evolution in *E. coli*. *Science* **383**(6681):421–6.
86. **Perry NT, Bartie LJ, Katrekar D, Gonzalez GA, Durrant MG, Pai JJ, Fanton A, Martins JQ, Hiraizumi M, Ricci-Tam C, Nishimasu H, Konermann S, Hsu PD** (2026). Megabase-scale human genome rearrangement with programmable bridge recombinases. *Science* **391**(6790):eadz0276.
87. **Petris G, Grazioli S, van Bijsterveldt L, Murat P, Liu KC, Birnbaum J, Sale JE, Chin JW** (2025). High-fidelity human chromosome transfer and elimination. *Science* **390**(6777):1038–43.
88. **Pandi A, Diehl C, Yazdizadeh Kharrazi A, Scholz SA, Bobkova E, Faure L, Nattermann M, Adam D, Chapin N, Foroughijabbari Y, Moritz C, Paczia N, Cortina NS, Faulon JL, Erb TJ** (2022). A versatile active learning workflow for optimization of genetic and metabolic networks. *Nat Commun* **13**(1):3876.
89. **Ingraham JB, Baranov M, Costello Z, Barber KW, Wang W, Ismail A, Frappier V, Lord DM, Ng-Thow-Hing C, van Vlack ER, Tie S, Xue V, Cowles SC, Leung A, Rodrigues JV, Morales-Perez CL, Ayoub AM, Green R, Puentes K, Oplinger F, Panwar NV, Obermeyer F, Root AR, Beam AL, Poelwijk FJ, Grigoryan G** (2023). Illuminating protein space with a programmable generative model. *Nature* **623**(7989):1070–8.
90. **Hayes T, Rao R, Akin H, Sofroniew NJ, Oktay D, Lin Z, Verkuil R, Tran VQ, Deaton J, Wiggert M, Badkundri R, Shafkat I, Gong J, Derry A, Molina RS, Thomas N, Khan YA, Mishra C, Kim C, Bartie LJ, Nemeth M, Hsu PD, Sercu T, Candido S, Rives A** (2025). Simulating 500 million years of evolution with a language model. *Science* **387**(6736):850–8.
91. **Nguyen E, Poli M, Durrant MG, Kang B, Katrekar D, Li DB, Bartie LJ, Thomas AW, King SH, Brixi G, Sullivan J, Ng MY, Lewis A, Lou A, Ermon S, Baccus SA, Hernandez-Boussard**

- T, Ré C, Hsu PD, Hie BL (2024). Sequence modeling and design from molecular to genome scale with Evo. *Science* **386**(6723):eado9336.
92. Rai K, O'Connell RW, Piepergerdes TC, Wang Y, Brown LBC, Samra KD, Wilson JA, Lin S, Zhang TH, Ramos EM, Sun A, Kille B, Curry KD, Rocks JW, Treangen TJ, Mehta P, Bashor CJ (2026). Ultra-high-throughput mapping of genetic design space. *Nature* **650**(8103):1035–44.
 93. Singh N, Lane S, Yu T, Lu J, Ramos A, Cui H, Zhao H (2025). A generalized platform for artificial intelligence-powered autonomous enzyme engineering. *Nat Commun* **16**(1):5648.
 94. Pandi A, Adam D, Zare A, van Trinh T, Schaefer SL, Burt M, Klabunde B, Bobkova E, Kushwaha M, Foroughijabbari Y, Braun P, Spahn C, Preußner C, Pogge von Strandmann E, Bode HB, Buttler H von, Bertrams W, Jung AL, Abendroth F, Schmeck B, Hummer G, Vázquez O, Erb TJ (2023). Cell-free biosynthesis combined with deep learning accelerates de novo-development of antimicrobial peptides. *Nat Commun* **14**(1):7197.
 95. Carpenter EJ, Peng C, Haregu S, Twells N, Woudstra L, Sood A, Cartmell J, Woods RJ, Mahal LK, Wang S-K, Greiner R, Derda R (2025). Atom-level machine learning of protein-glycan interactions and cross-chiral recognition in glycobiology. *Sci Adv* **11**(49):eadx6373.
 96. Watson JL, Juergens D, Bennett NR, Trippe BL, Yim J, Eisenach HE, Ahern W, Borst AJ, Ragotte RJ, Milles LF, Wicky BIM, Hanikel N, Pellock SJ, Courbet A, Sheffler W, Wang J, Venkatesh P, Sappington I, Torres SV, Lauko A, Bortoli V de, Mathieu E, Ovchinnikov S, Barzilay R, Jaakkola TS, DiMaio F, Baek M, Baker D (2023). De novo design of protein structure and function with RFdiffusion. *Nature* **620**(7976):1089–100.
 97. Bennett NR, Watson JL, Ragotte RJ, Borst AJ, See DL, Weidle C, Biswas R, Yu Y, Shrock EL, Ault R, Leung PJY, Huang B, Goreshnik I, Tam J, Carr KD, Singer B, Criswell C, Wicky BIM, Vafeados D, Garcia Sanchez M, Kim HM, Vázquez Torres S, Chan S, Sun SM, Spear TT, Sun Y, O'Reilly K, Maris JM, Sgourakis NG, Melnyk RA, Liu CC, Baker D (2026). Atomically accurate de novo design of antibodies with RFdiffusion. *Nature* **649**(8095):183–93.
 98. Vázquez Torres S, Benard Valle M, Mackessy SP, Menzies SK, Casewell NR, Ahmadi S, Burlet NJ, Muratspahić E, Sappington I, Overath MD, Rivera-de-Torre E, Ledergerber J, Laustsen AH, Boddum K, Bera AK, Kang A, Brackenbrough E, Cardoso IA, Crittenden EP, Edge RJ, Decarreau J, Ragotte RJ, Pillai AS, Abedi M, Han HL, Gerben SR, Murray A, Skotheim R, Stuart L, Stewart L, Fryer TJA, Jenkins TP, Baker D (2025). De novo designed proteins neutralize lethal snake venom toxins. *Nature* **639**(8053):225–31.
 99. Li C, Wang D, Gao H, Fu T, He L, Han D, Tan W (2024). Leveraging DNA-Encoded Cell-Mimics for Environment-Adaptive Transmembrane Channel Release-Induced Cell Death. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **63**(30):e202406186.
 100. Yamada T, Trentesaux C, Brunger JM, Xiao Y, Stevens AJ, Martyn I, Kasperek P, Shroff NP, Aguilar A, Bruneau BG, Boffelli D, Klein OD, Lim WA (2025). Synthetic organizer cells guide development via spatial and biochemical instructions. *Cell* **188**(3):778–795.e18.
 101. Inda-Webb ME, Jimenez M, Liu Q, Phan NV, Ahn J, Steiger C, Wentworth A, Riaz A, Zirtiloglu T, Wong K, Ishida K, Fabian N, Jenkins J, Kuosmanen J, Madani W, McNally R, Lai Y, Hayward A, Mimee M, Nadeau P, Chandrakasan AP, Traverso G, Yazicigil RT, Lu TK (2023). Sub-1.4 cm³ capsule for detecting labile inflammatory biomarkers in situ. *Nature* **620**(7973):386–92.
 102. Huang J, Xue S, Buchmann P, Teixeira AP, Fussenegger M (2023). An electrogenetic interface to program mammalian gene expression by direct current. *Nat Metab* **5**(8):1395–407.
 103. Ballard E, Sakurai M, Yu L, Liu L, Oura S, Huang J, Wu J (2024). Incompatibility in cell adhesion constitutes a barrier to interspecies chimerism. *Cell Stem Cell* **31**(10):1419–1426.e7.
 104. Zhang F, Sun Y, Zhang Y, Shen W, Wang S, Ouyang Q, Luo C (2022). Independent control of amplitude and period in a synthetic oscillator circuit with modified repressilator. *Commun Biol* **5**(1):23.
 105. Wu L-L, Yan S, Pei T-T, Tang M-X, Li H, Liang X, Sun S, Dong T (2023). A Dueling-Competent Signal-Sensing Module Guides Precise Delivery of Cargo Proteins into Target Cells by Engineered *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *ACS Synth Biol* **12**(2):360–8.

-
106. **Chen WCW, Gaidukov L, Lai Y, Wu M-R, Cao J, Gutbrod MJ, Choi GCG, Utomo RP, Chen Y-C, Wroblewska L, Kellis M, Zhang L, Weiss R, Lu TK** (2022). A synthetic transcription platform for programmable gene expression in mammalian cells. *Nat Commun* **13**(1):6167.
 107. **Lin Y, Shi J, Feng W, Yue J, Luo Y, Chen S, Yang B, Jiang Y, Hu H, Zhou C, Shi F, Prominski A, Talapin DV, Xiong W, Gao X, Tian B** (2023). Periplasmic biomineralization for semi-artificial photosynthesis. *Sci Adv* **9**(29):eadg5858.
 108. **Shi X, Pumm A-K, Maffeo C, Kohler F, Feigl E, Zhao W, Verschueren D, Golestanian R, Aksimentiev A, Dietz H, Dekker C** (2024). A DNA turbine powered by a transmembrane potential across a nanopore. *Nat Nanotechnol* **19**(3):338–44.
 109. **Firzan Ca N, Jana K, Radhakrishnan S, Aara R, S M, Nair R, Bajaj H, Kleinekathöfer U, Mahendran KR** (2025). Fabrication of cytotoxic mirror image nanopores. *Nat Commun* **16**(1):8666.
 110. **Zhang Y, Dantsu Y, Zhang W** (2025). Construction of a Mirror-Image RNA Nanostructure for Enhanced Biostability and Drug Delivery Efficiency. *ACS Biomater Sci Eng* **11**(4):2408–21.